

Enhancing CSG well production through BHP control

Research Team: Benjamin Wu, Mahshid Firouzi, Thomas E. Rufford and Brian Towler - Centre for Coal Seam Gas & School of Chemical Engineering



The estimation of counter-current two-phase flow pressure profiles is important in a wide range of industrial processes, including the prediction of flowing bottom-hole pressure (FBHP) for the design of coal seam gas (CSG) wells and artificial lift.

Leaders of the CSG industry are currently using simulators containing models that were originally developed for conventional wells (co-current flow in pipe) for their CSG developments (counter-current flow in annuli).





Figure 1. Two-phase flow regimes across a well-bore

Project objectives

To produce a system model for predicting the FBHP in CSG wells.

- 1. Develop mathematical models for the pressure gradient of each unique flow regime
- 2. Design an experimental rig resembling a typical CSG well
- 3. Conduct lab tests for identification of flow regimes and measurement of associated pressure drops and hold-ups
- 4. Determine the conditions for onset of slugging and free flow
- 5. Validate/modify our mathematical models for the FBHP

2m obs.

window

Pressure

tap



Experimental setup



Experimental measurements:

- 1. Flow regimes
- Visual observation
- Videography
- 2. Differential pressure and FBHP • Pressure transducers
- 3. Holdup
- Image analysis
- Photo diode
- Distributed Acoustic Sensing (DAS)
- 4. Effect of liquid properties
- Test formation water
- 5. Maximum dewatering rate Detect gas carry over

Parameter	7" well
Casing ID	170 mm (6.69")
Tubing OD	70 mm (2.76")
Rig height	8.0 m
Test section	6.0 m
Max. air flow	7,500 Lpm (380 MSCFD)
Max. water flow	1,200 Lpm (10,000 BBLD)

Figure 2. Experimental setup of counter-current two-phase flow in an annulus



Figure 3. Schematic of the experimental rig

Results

Experimental results and corresponding flow rates are monitored in real time and recorded as logs.





A transition to the annular flow regime, gas continuous phase, was observed when water flow rate was increased. Shifting from a liquid continuous phase to a gas continuous phase has the most significant impact on the pressure data.



Models have shown that in counter-current two-phase flows, water flow contributes to increased void fraction by decreasing bubble rise velocity and increasing gas retention time. Void fraction, or hold-up, is a key criteria in the description of flow regimes.

Figure 5. Modelling results for liquid rate vs. void fraction