

REFERENCES

ON, C. A., ZOB 386. ACK M. D., A

ETT S., TRU resses on . P. K., ast Qu and,

TON, S.K., n Surat Ba S.D.

rpretation of gas content trends, Walloon Subgroup, eology, 101 , 21 – 35. aphy and palaeogeography of the Roma Shelf area, of Earth Sciences, The University of Queensland, Vols

by FrOG Tech Pty Ltd., Brisk m gas play, Suat Basin, Qué

core and its AAPG Mem.,

SEEBASE Depth (mASL) - 3100 - -6800

Fig. : inter

- showing NE-SW orientation wi orientation variation near major structures and faults.
 In situation ≶ ess ba HS) Hmax) stress ement
- In situ stress orientation change also observed along depth within a well and the variation mainly due to the presence of faults nearby.

TPI R

Western part of the seismic section shows the broad anticlinal features in the deeper Bowen Basin sediments causing gentle flexure within Surat sediments. Also develop lot of fracture within Surat sediments. The stress orientation within Guluguba 4 well dominantly NE-SW but vary slightly with depth. In the eastern part Surat sediments directly overlies the Palaeozoic basement rock shows rotation in SHmax probably due to stress perturbations from the basement. broa Als Th ר¦ן

diments directly overlies the shows rotation in SHmax perturbations from the

CONCLUSIONS

- * Deformation in the Surat Basin is characterized by normal faults, developed fractures within Walloon Subgroup which along with the in , folds and n situ stress c 0 'keystone' D features, and
- *
- ** Present day mean SHmax shows overall NE-SW orientation coinciding v SHmax orientation also affected by the near field stress perturbations orientation near major basement structures, faults. y with the far field stress s and deviate from the r Гe ച stress
- ** Near major basement str stratigraphically. cti s, folds a d faults within Su at B ı, fra Ctu -lly Ы
- 'Keystone' basement s ne' features a nt structures (are s or (e abundant oblig، ما IJ. \exists the eastern part ٨h ich may de /elop from the Гe tion Of the

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to thank The University of Queensland (UQ) and the School of Earth S (from APLNG, Arrow Energy, QGC and Santos). The authors are thankful to Arrow Energy P Abbas Babaahmadi and Dr Fengde Zhou for their advice and help and also Paradigm and Sch Lices for / Ltd. Dep mber ng a UQI sc it of Natura ŧ cholarship, as well as UQ's Centre for Coal Seam Gas for providing industry research funding ral Resources and Mining (DNRM) for providing image log data. A special thank you to Dr. software packages and support.

Cel UQ-CCSG ntre for Coal Seam Gas

Surat basin Coal Seam Gas (CSG) is maturing from exploration to production to meet the targets for the Liquefied Natural Gas projects. This requires effective and predictable reservoir performance that is directly controlled by permeability, gas saturation and matching the well completion technique to the ground conditions. Permeability is a function of stress and fracture, and these will vary at the field scale with the development of larger regional scale faults and folds, and localised "keystone" features. This study will evaluate the role of tectonic reactivation of existing structures within and beneath the Jurassic coal measures sequence and their rheological response to extension, compression and shear as measured by in situ stress and fracture function.



of Surat anerozoi ap



AIMS OF THE STUDY

The ajor aims of this s

- * To develop regional to local models of the fault and fracture relative to major faults, folds and their kinematics; networks
- * To understand controls on the spatial and stratigraphic variability and fracture orientation relative to gas saturation domains (Ha al, 2012) and permeability and their role in known "sweet" ar production spots. ility of stress (Hamilton et " and "sour"
- To link geological variability with reservoir performance in key structural and production domains across the Surat Basin.

*

METHODOLOGY



Gaussian Curvature

-





OREHOLE IMAGE LOG ANALYSIS ହୁତ PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Π





Structural in the 0 Walloon ontrols 01 n, \sim in 6 0 tu up,str Surat ess 2 Basin 2 ac tur **es**

Re upe vata Mukherjee, PhD candidate – School of Earth Scie ervisors: Prof J. Esterle, Jeff Copley, Dr. Abbas Babaa earch Title: Structural controls on the stress and fractu and fracture dioithin X 5 Su B

BACKGROUND