THE UNIVERSITY OF QUEENSLAND
AUSTRALIA
CREATE CHANGE

Annual Report: Roma

Social and economic changes in Queensland's gasfield communities in 2019

Report published: July 2020

Research Team

Dr Katherine Witt and Mr Jonathan Simpson

Acknowledgements

Disclosure/Disclaimer

Contact

Dr Katherine Witt
Research Fellow
The University of Queensland Centre for Natural Gas
St Lucia Qld 4072 Australia
E: k.witt@uq.edu.au
W: www.natural-gas.centre.uq.edu.au
W: www.boomtown-indicators.org

The Roma CSG Development Story

The 'Roma CSG Development Story' was developed in consultation with key members of the Roma and district community. These included representatives of community groups and services organisations, real estate agents, hotels, motels and other local businesses, police, school, employment agencies and local and state government staff.

The individuals participating in this consultation were asked to discuss and comment on statistical data on indicators of social and economic impact. These discussions provided a local point of view and insight into the Roma CSG Development Story, to be used in combination with the publicly available data. The following indicators were discussed:

1. Population
2. Unemployment
3. Income
4. Housing
5. Top offences recorded by police

Those interviewed said Roma was a friendly town with a strong and stable economic base as a rural and government services centre. Referred to as 'the gateway to the outback', Roma is situated at the crossroads of two main transport routes and captures both recreational and commercial traffic heading east-west and north-south. Data shows Roma's population has been increasing steadily at around 1% growth per annum. In the year of 2012/13 Roma experienced a 3.4% growth in resident population. Total population growth (including non- resident workers (NRWs)) was 6%, which is considered 'boom' level. The number of NRWs dropped from over 600 to less than 100 in 2014-15 (negative 6% total population growth). Since then, the resident population has been decreasing each year at less than 1%, except for 2016 with a drop of over 2.5%. As of 2019, the number of NRWs is up to almost 100. In town, people reported noticing the turnover in population as people came and left, rather than a large net increase.

This turnover was in part due to changes in housing costs. Established residents are reported to have sold homes at inflated prices and moved away, sometimes to pick up fly-in/fly-out employment opportunities in the gas industry. House prices increased in two 'bursts' - from 2003-2007 and from 2009-2012. Low income earners are said to have moved away as rents increased. Rents in Roma increased above the QLD median rental price in 2010 to 2015, peaking at $450 per week in 2013. Prices have fallen swiftly to and remain around $230 per week in 2018- half of the 2013 peak; in 2019 the rent at $245 is still lower than pre-CSG construction. People moved to Roma for work, including a proportion of foreign workers sponsored by local employers. Low rents are now attracting a "new demographic" of low-income households.

Demand for housing in Roma was heightened by major flood events in 2010, 2011 and 2012. The 2012 record-breaking flood damaged over 400 homes. Around 200 were left uninhabitable. The flood reconstruction period involved numerous road repair and insurance company building contractor crews from out of town and coincided with the peak of the CSG construction period. This created an acute demand for limited housing and accommodation (as well as supplies and services) which pushed prices up. There was an average of 23 residential building approvals per year from 2001 to 2010. This jumped to around 65 per year from 2011 to 2015 and evaporated to only 8 residential building approvals in 2017, and 3 in 2018. "There is now an oversupply of housing in Roma".

Roma has a historically low unemployment rate (around 2.5 - 3%), well below the State average. During the peak of CSG construction, local employers said they found it difficult to retain employees. Foreign workers were brought in to fill labour shortages, mostly in the hospitality and agricultural industries. In 2017, unemployment rose to its highest level since monitoring began (2001), but still remains below the rate for QLD. Coinciding with rising unemployment, the number of wage earners decreased in the last reporting year (2015/16FY) and the total wage earnings has dropped by around 25%.

Crime rates in Roma are slightly higher than for the whole of Queensland. At the crossroads of two major transport routes, Roma has a high rate of traffic offences. Traffic offences fluctuate and figures are said to reflect police effort. Good order and drugs offences increased from 2011 to 2014 which caused some local concern, but have since decreased. Police say it was mainly 'locals' involved, not CSG workers. Drugs have become "too easy to get" and drugs offences spiked in 2016. This was reflected in reports of increased disruptive behaviour in schools, as well as lower academic scores. Local Strategies put in place by gas companies, local police and businesses to curb bad behaviour during the peak of construction were thought to be effective. There were no reports by our interviewees of an increased fear of crime in the community despite a rise in good order offences and offences against the person in 2017 or 2018.

This booklet provides detail on the aspects of the 'Roma story' based on the range of priority indicators that we tracked. We would like to thank members of the Roma community their cooperation and the gift of their time. We hope that we have done justice to their contributions to this study.

The UQ 'Cumulative Impacts' Research Team, July 2020.

Contents

Introduction

The University of Queensland commenced research into the social and economic impacts of coal seam gas (CSG) development in 2013. This research focused on the combined impacts of the multiple CSG developments in the Western Downs region of Queensland as an initial case study, and has now expanded to include other local government areas—Maranoa, Toowoomba, and Isaac. The research team uses publicly available statistical information regarding a number of key indicators that were selected in consultation with community members at the commencement of research. These statistics are combined with additional data gained through interviews with key community members, which provide insight into the factors that are influencing changes in the community. This information is gathered each year, and findings are reported for each town, sub-regions and the region as a whole. More information about the methodology is contained in Appendix D to this report. In this document we present the findings on the town of Roma.

The following acronyms are used throughout this report:

CSG	Coal seam gas
FIFO	Fly-in fly-out
LGA	Local Government Area
NRW	Non-resident worker
SA2	Statistical Area Level 2
SA3	Statistical Area Level 3
SLA	Statistical Local Area
UCL	Urban Centre & Locality

General insights

> **2019 summary**
>
> Due to the COVID-19 pandemic in 2020 the communites were not contacted. The data has been updated but has not been 'ground-truthed'. The effects of COVID will not be seen until next year, or 2022 for the income data.

Community spirit:

- There is a general acceptance of ongoing CSG presence in Roma and the situation is stabilising.
- Community spirit is improving; focus has shifted to "if this is what it is, then we've got to find a way to live with it".
- Social cohesion is perceived to have somewhat diminished from a combination of non-English speaking migrants, reluctance of established locals to embrace new ideas, and economic differences between residents who benefitted from CSG and those who did not.
- Attitudes to CSG have improved from initial expectations. One interviewee retrospectively predicted that 20% of community would have viewed CSG favourably in 2008; now 80% would be supportive.

Changed capability:

- Improvements in the agriculture sector likely offset impacts from the decrease the CSG construction.
- Although business capabilities are generally thought to have improved, community services are seen to have decreased and it is perceived there is little government interest in community development.
- Community capacity to address these issues is reported to have reduced. One person explained that a sense of entitlement developed over the years when industry supported events, and the community is now less willing to contribute. One interviewee summarised it as "capability has decreased, while expectations have increased".
- Particular businesses have increased capability by upskilling in individual capability and investing in "the way they do business". Several have used the CSG industry to expand outside the Maranoa region. Other businesses have decreased capacity as skilled workers moved to higher paying roles.

Lessons learned:

- Going back a decade to when CSG activity began in the region, some community members would like to have seen the state government slow CSG activity down. Marona Regional Council was caught by surprise on the magnitude of issues. They are now appropriately upscaled/upskilled, but a slower ramp up to allow them to do this methodocially would have been appreciated.
- Some interviewees recommended better forecasting and communication of expected numbers of NRWs including sub-contractors.
- Community engagement by the CSG industry should be phased throughout the construction and operation stages of the project. The current support from CSG was described as "astronomically disappointing" with no ongoing engagement and no flow-on benefits to the community.

1. Population

2019 summary

Population growth has slowed to around 1% per annum. Interviewees report the diversity in town has increased as businesses used non-salary innovations including 457 visas to attract workers during CSG construction.

Context

- Over half of the Maranoa local government area (LGA) population resides in the town of Roma.

Trends reflected in the data

Historical trend: Roma has always been a rural service centre with a stable government sector. Population growth has historically been slow and steady, averaging 1.1% per annum between 2004/05 and 2009/10.

During CSG construction period (2011 – 2014): Roma saw an overall 7% increase in its full-time equivalent population (FTE; includes residents and non-residents), consistent with "boom town" growth patterns. This growth was largely due to an influx of NRWs; Roma's resident population increased by less than 3% over this 5-year period. The number of NRWs staying in town peaked in 2013/14 at 8% of the town population. In the same year, after peak growth in 2012/13 (2.1%), Roma's resident population began to decline.

Since CSG construction period (2015 – 2019): Since the construction phase ended, Roma's local population has decreased by 5.7% and is slightly lower than before CSG development. The largest change was experienced in 2014/15, when NRWs dropped sharply from 610 to 70 and the resident population fell by 2.8%. While 2018 saw only 40 NRWs, 2017 and 2019 had 90 or more.

Community insights and perceptions

During CSG construction period (2011 – 2014): Roma experienced a greater population "influx" than was expected. This change was expressed through anecdotes such "the town was buzzing with people"and "you had to park blocks away from where you wanted to go". Some interviewees suggested that population increase may have been minimised by Roma's already established airport, which facilitated fly-in fly-out (FIFO) working conditions.

Since CSG construction period (2015 – now): Interviewees say the town is now very quiet and report that residents who left due to high rents in 2011-15 are returning. Views on social cohesion differ. Some feel cohesion decreased as long-term residents are reluctant to integrate with new residents, others feel there are no integration issues as Roma residents are use to transient residents as it is a service and training centre for the broader region. Council would like to convet FIFO to local residents.

Future expectations: Local people expect that population will recover to steady growth. Council and State Treasury predict the population to stay as it is. New projects announced by Santos may mean "it's not all over yet".

1. Population

1a. Population and projections

Chart axes: Population (no. of persons) on y-axis (0 to 9000); years 2000/01 to 2040/41 on x-axis.

Series labels: Residents projection (SA2), Residents (SA2) (UCL), Non-resident workers (UCL), CSG development noticed locally.

Data notes

- UCL non-resident workers population estimate from QGSO 'Surat Basin Population Report' (ASGC 2016); 2019 version available here: https://www.qgso.qld.gov.au/statistics/theme/population/non-resident-population-queensland-resource-regions/surat-basin
- UCL resident population estimate from the QGSO table 'Estimated resident population (a) by urban centre and locality (b), Queensland, 2006 to 2016pr' (ASGS 2016), http://www.qgso.qld.gov.au/products/tables/erp-ucl-qld/index.php
- SA2 population estimate from the QGSO table 'Estimated resident population by statistical area level 2 (SA2), Queensland, 2006 to 2016pr' (ASGS 2016), http://www.qgso.qld.gov.au/subjects/demography/population-estimates/tables/erp-sa2-qld/index.php
- Population projection (2019 edition) from QGSO table 'Projected population (medium series), by statistical area level 2 (SA2), SA3 and SA4, Queensland, 2011 to 2041' (ASGC 2001), http://www.qgso.qld.gov.au/subjects/demography/population-projections/tables/proj-pop-medium-series-sa2-sa3-sa4-qld/index.php
- Note: Roma UCL and SA2 boundaries are the same

1. Population

1b. Resident vs. non-resident population - Roma

CSG development noticed locally

Legend: Non-resident workers (UCL); Residents (UCL)

Y-axis: No. of persons (5,500 – 8,000)
X-axis: 2000/01 to 2018/19

1c. Resident vs. non-resident population - Maranoa LGA

Legend: Non-residents (LGA); Residents (LGA)

Y-axis: - to 20,000
X-axis: 2000/01 to 2018/19

Data notes

- Population and non-resident worker population estimates for UCL and Western Downs LGA from the QGSO 'Surat Basin Population Report' (ASGC 2016); 2019 version available here: https://www.qgso.qld.gov.au/statistics/theme/population/non-resident-population-queensland-resource-regions/surat-basin
- Data missing for 2008/09 non-resident worker population

2. Employment

2019 summary

Unemployment rate has been increasing since 2013 and increased a further throught to 2018. This remains well below the Qld unemployment rate of 6.1%. Interviewees relate this drop to decreases in CSG activity and report job security is now concerning the community, especially the youth of Roma.

Trends reflected in the data

Historical trend: Roma has a low (around 2.5 - 3%) unemployment rate, and the trend does not follow the state-wide trend, suggesting local influences dominant. The unemployment rate dipped to 1.2% in 2009 but reverted to trend the following year.

During CSG construction period (2011 – 2014): Unemployment averaged 1.7% during this period and was lowest in 2013 (1.1%). Very low unemployment rate indicated a severe skills shortage in both killed and unskilled labour.

Since CSG construction period (2015 – 2019):): Unemployment gradually increased from 2013, before a sharp jump from 2016 to 2018. In the last year, unemployment plataued slightly, increasing by 0.1% instead of 1%. Roma's unemployment rate is still 1.5% lower than the Queensland rate of 6.1%.

Community insights and perceptions

**During CSG construction period (2011 – 2014):** Very low unemployment made it difficult for local employers to recruit and retain staff. Some local employers sponsored overseas workers. Local youth returned to town due to ability to gain apprenticeships or high paying employment. Interviewees reported unemployed people moved away as the cost of living rose.

Since CSG construction period (2015 – now): Interviewees believe Maranoa to be doing better than other regions. There have been lost jobs in the agricultural sector maybe from drought. There has been pressure on farmers to be more efficient, so there have been some layoffs. The seasons drive employment – especially for activities like cropping.

Future expectations: Those interviewed expect that unemployment will continue to rise as local businesses adapt from the CSG construction phase to the operations phase. Santos has announced $700million commitments in Western Downs and Maranoa so some expect a decrease in unemployment again in the future. It is perceived that local businesses don't have capacity, or inclination, to take on long-term unemployed.

2. Employment

2a. Unemployment rate

Data notes

- 2001-2008 based on SLA statistical boundary; Source: QGSO Regional Database Archived dataset 'Labour Force - Small Area (Qtr Ended 31 Dec 2002 to Qtr Ended 31 Dec 2008) [DEEWR, Small Area Labour Markets Australia] (ASGC 2001)', http://www.qgso.qld.gov.au/products/tables/qld-regional-database/index.php
- 2009-2010 data from DEEWR file 'Unemployment salm_data_files_2008-2013'
- 2010-2019 based on SA2 statistical boundary; Source: QGSO Regional Database dataset 'Labour Force - Small Area (Qtr Ended 31 Dec 2010 to Qtr Ended 31 Dec 2019) [Department of Jobs and Small Business] (ASGS 2016)', http://www.qgso.qld.gov.au/products/tables/qld-regional-database/index.php

3. Income & business

2019 summary

Individual incomes have returned to the historical trend of slightly below the state benchmark. Interviewees felt strategies by Maranoa Regional Council (Commerce Roma) to leverage benefits of CSG have minimised impact of decreasing CSG operations.

Trends reflected in the data

Historical trend: Roma's average income is historically only slightly less than the Queensland average and follows a similar trend. Roma historically has around 3,000 wage earners.

During CSG construction period (2011 – 2014):

In 2012 average incomes in Roma were just above the QLD average. The number of wage earners increased to over 4,000 in 2012, then fluctuated between 3,600 and 4,300 until 2015. Primary production business counts saw a steady decline in the lower turnover brackets, 60 fewer businesses by 2014. However, little change in the higher turnover brackets. The NPP businesses decreased in total number by 55, but increased in high turnover brackets by 20 during this period.

Since CSG construction period (2015 – 2019): Average incomes have remained relatively constant since 2015 at approximately $2K below the Queensland benchmark. However, total wage earnings increased by 3.7% 2016/17 after a drop of 12% the previous year, it increased a further 5.7% in 2017/18. High turnover counts of PP businesses saw a peak of 19 more businesses in 2016/16, though this it quickly returned to a historical trend by 2018/19. The NPP businesses did see an initial drop across the board, however, by 2018/19 they back to a pre-CSG trend, maintaining a higher than historical high turnover bracket count.

Community insights and perceptions

During CSG construction period (2011 – 2014): Local wages increased as employers competed for labour with resources sector. This trend was noticed "across the board" and some companies could not compete. Interviewees report CSG benefits unequally distributed. FIFO workers benefitted most while middle-income earners were "hit hardest" by rising living costs. The CSG boom corresponded with slow agricultural years and interviewees felt some businesses only survived due to CSG. One interviewee used Roma airport as a baraometer of economic health. Prior to CSG (2007) it serviced 16,000 people a year and during CSG construction it peaked at 320,000.

Since CSG construction period (2015 – now): Interviewees feel that CSG has helped the larger economy pull through; the resources sector outstripped agricultural in value to the economy. However, agricultural work supports residents more than resources. Many businesses are struggling with online shopping competition, high energy prices, and new compliance legislation. Businesses who deal with CSG companies are doing better and the effects are felt more in Roma than Maranoa.

Future expectations: Business incomes are expected to decline. Businesses dependant on CSG (construction, accomodation and "Higher end" retail) will struggle.

3. Income & business

3a. Average taxable income (taxable individuals only)

Queensland (benchmark)

Roma

CSG development noticed locally

3b. Total individual earnings

CSG development noticed locally

No. wage and salary earners — Total earnings

Data notes

- Source: Australian Taxation Office (ATO), Research and Statistics, https://www.ato.gov.au/
- Average taxable incomes reported by ATO until 2009 excluded losses. Averages from 2010 include all taxable incomes including incomes of zero and losses.
- Data relates to Roma postcode 4455
- Original data – no discounting applied
- Due to ATO data publishing cycles, 2018/19 data will be included in the 2020 Roma booklet

3c. Count of business by turnover (non-primary production)

3d. Count of business by turnover (primary production)

Data notes
- Source: Australian Bureau of Statistics, Cat. 8165, https://www.abs.gov.au/
- Data based on SA2 (Roma) statistical boundary

4. Housing

2019 summary

House prices and rents have dropped 28% and 50% respectively and are now equal to their values ten years ago. Housing development approvals dropped from 63 to 3 in 2018. Housing was regarded as the most significant issue from CSG construction and continues to be an issue.

Trends reflected in the data

Historical trend: As an agricultural and government services town, there is steady demand for housing-both rental and purchase. House prices increased in two 'bursts' - from 2003-2007 and from 2009-2012. There was an average of 23 residential building approvals per year from 2001 to 2010. Roma had little land available on which to expand.

During CSG construction period (2011 – 2014): Roma is reported to have experienced the fastest 5-year growth in median house prices from 2008-2013, when compared to other QLD regions[1]. In the same 5-year period, rents almost doubled, peaking at $450 per week in 2013. From 2011, rents were higher than the QLD median, peaking in 2013. Residential building approvals tripled to an average of 68 a year between 2012 and 2015.

Since CSG construction period (2015 – 2019): Compared to the peak during CSG construction, the 2019 median sale price of three bedroom houses dropped by 29% and the rental rates are down by 44%. Fewer houses are for sale, following a trend started prior to CSG. Rental amounts in 2019 have increased over the previous year. Following a declining trend of new building approvals, there was a 97% decrease in 2015, with little change in the following years. This is below even the historic number of approvals.

Community insights and perceptions

During CSG construction period (2011 – 2014): Housing was affected by floods in 2010 and 2012. Relocated persons, insurance contractors and road repairers further increased demand for housing. High demand for limited housing attracted property investors from outside Roma. Rents became unaffordable for some. Employers bought houses for staff accommodation. New housing developments "are not sensitive to the character of the town". Private investment was smaller than adjacent towns but still underestimated in housing need forecasts.

Since CSG construction period (2015 – now): There is little demand for new housing as there the vacancy rate remains about twice as high as is considered healthy. This has had a flow on effect on the construction businesses who are major employers. The housing situtation is believed to have have attracted lower-socio economic groups which may increase crime.

Future expectations: Interviewees do not envisage another boom in housing market. Land previously unavailable for development has been purchased from state government and can be opened if further booms occur.

---

[1] Invest Maranoa http://www.investmaranoa.com.au/index.php/housing

4. Housing

4a. Median house sale price

Legend: No. of house sales - Roma | Roma (SA2) | Brisbane (benchmark)

CSG development noticed locally

4b. Median weekly rent (3-bedroom house)

CSG development noticed locally

Queensland (benchmark)

Values (Median weekly rent): 2000 $129, 2001 $139, 2002 $140, 2003 $144, 2004 $159, 2005 $178, 2006 $200, 2007 $243, 2008 $260, 2009 $275, 2010 $305, 2011 $350, 2012 $435, 2013 $440, 2014 $420, 2015 $353, 2016 $254, 2017 $223, 2018 $228, 2019 $245

Legend: Median weekly rent | Queensland (benchmark)

Data notes

- 4a source: QGSO Regional Database dataset 'Residential land and dwelling sales (Year Ended 30 Sep 2000 to Year Ended 31 Dec 2019) [DNRM] (ASGS 2016)', http://www.qgso.qld.gov.au/products/tables/qld-regional-database/index.php
- 4b source: QGSO Regional Database dataset 'Median rent (Year Ended 30 Sep 1990 to Year Ended 31 Dec 2019) [RTA] (ASGS 2016)', http://www.qgso.qld.gov.au/products/tables/qld-regional-database/index.php
- Data based on SA2 (Roma) statistical boundary
- Median data is an average of the reported quarterly medians per year

4. Housing

4c. New building approvals

Chart showing No. of approvals from 2001 to 2019, with Residential building approvals (blue) and Non-residential building approvals (orange). An annotation "CSG development noticed locally" points to 2009.

Data notes

- Source: QGSO Regional Database dataset 'Building Approvals (Jul 2001 to Dec 2019) [ABS 8731.0] (ASGS 2016)', http://www.qgso.qld.gov.au/products/tables/qld-regional-database/index.php
- Data based on SA2 statistical boundary
- Approvals shown for private buildings only; public developments are excluded

5. Safety & Wellbeing

2019 summary

Total offfences in Roma are 50% higher than the rate for Queensland. Traffic offences remain high despite significant decreases in traffic volumes. Interviewees reported decreased tolerance to drug use and flagged emerging issues of domestic violence and juvenille crime. There are also increased police operations throughout the region.

Trends reflected in the data

Historical trend: Crime rates in Roma are historically similar to or above the Queensland benchmark. Offfences increased significantly above this average in 2008, largely due to an increase in offfences against Property and Good Order offfences.

During CSG construction period (2011 – 2014): Overall crime rates fluctuated during the CSG construction years. Offfences were particularly elevated in 2012 and 2014. Drug offences spiked in 2012 and good order offences spiked in 2012 and 2014. Traffic offences fluctuate but were more than double the QLD benchmark for several of the CSG construction years.

Since CSG construction period (2015 – 2019): The total crime rate was increased in 2018 to roughly twice the Queensland rate, higher than during the CSG construction period but returned to trend in 2019. While drug offences had declined 50% from an all time peak in 2015 to 2017, they spiked again to 250% in 2018, but again returned to trend in 2019. Traffic offences were increasing from 2015 to 2017 but fell in 2018, however, 2019 saw the highest rate at nearly three times the QLD rate. Theft is the only indicator tracked that is below the QLD benchmark.

Community insights and perceptions

During CSG construction period (2011 – 2014): According to local police, "Good order and traffic are the main impacts of CSG" of interest to them. Local responses included a Liquor Accord, ID scanners in hotels, company in-vehicle monitoring systems, and school education programs. A spike in assaults in 2012-13 was linked by interviewees from QPS report as mainly 'locals'. Interviewees did not report increased fear of crime in the community but did feel "Drugs are too easy to get". Traffic offfences also reflect police activity.

Since CSG construction period (2015 – now): Some interviewees regard drugs as a major problem while others were surprised by the spike in drug offfences. These offfences were seen to be driven by mental health issues initiated in 2011/12 flood, high disposable incomes and decreased community tolerance (improved cooperation with QPS). Increased disruptive behaviour is reported in schools, as well as lower academic scores. The community has seen an increase in petty theft and home invasion, some blame goies to cheap rent and social housing. The effects of Police operations such as Quebec Trawler have been felt.

Future expectations: Interviewees had mixed expectations of future crime rates. Domestic violence and crimes by juvenilles are seen as emerging issues.

5a. Total offences


Chart showing number of offences per 1,000 persons per year, 2002–2019. Stacked bars: Offences Against the Person (purple), Offences Against Property (orange), Other Offences (light blue). Dashed red line shows Queensland total crime rate. Vertical dashed line at 2009 marked "CSG development noticed locally".

Legend: Offences Against the Person ■ Offences Against Property ■ Other Offences ■

5b. Traffic and related offences

Chart showing number of offences per 1,000 persons per year, 2002–2019. Blue bars with values: 2002: 9.3, 2003: 11.3, 2004: 12.8, 2005: 9.8, 2006: 12.3, 2007: 18.2, 2008: 13.1, 2009: 20.4, 2010: 16.6, 2011: 17.3, 2012: 21.4, 2013: 22.1, 2014: 19.1, 2015: 24.0, 2016: 20.8, 2017: 23.0, 2018: 15.8, 2019: 24.8. Dashed red line labelled "Queensland (benchmark)". Vertical dashed line at 2009 marked "CSG development noticed locally".

Data notes
- Source: Queensland Police Service (QPS), https://www.police.qld.gov.au/maps-and-statistics
- Crime rate data (per 100,000 people) obtained by QPS Division and Queensland State; data was adjusted to be presented as number of offences per 1,000 people per year

5. Safety & Wellbeing

5c. Other relevant offences - Roma

Drug Offences

Good Order Offences

Other Theft (excl. Unlawful Entry)

CSG development noticed locally

No. of offences per 1,000 persons per year

5d. Other relevant offences - Queensland

Other Theft (excl. Unlawful Entry)

Drug Offences

Good Order Offences

No. of offences per 1,00 persons per year

Data notes

- Source: Queensland Police Service (QPS), https://www.police.qld.gov.au/maps-and-statistics
- Crime rate data (per 100,000 people) obtained by QPS Division and Queensland State; data was adjusted to be presented as number of offences per 1,000 people per year

Appendix A: Spatial boundary maps (SA2, UCL and postcode)

**Roma statistical area 2 (SA2) boundary (2011, ASGS Code 307011176)**

Roma UCL boundary

Roma postcode boundary 4455

Appendix B: Roma rainfall (2002 – 2019)

Data notes
- Source: Bureau of Meteorology Climate Data online, http://www.bom.gov.au/climate/data/
- Rainfall observations reported for Roma Airport Rainfall Station

Appendix C: Non-resident population projections - Maranoa Region

Data notes

- Non-resident population, Queensland resource regions: Surat Basin:
  https://www.qgso.qld.gov.au/statistics/theme/population/non-resident-population-queensland-resource-regions/surat-basin
- Non-resident workers projections (2018) by LGA from QGSO report 'Surat Basin non–resident population projections: 2019 to 2025', https://www.qgso.qld.gov.au/statistics/theme/population/non-resident-population-queensland-resource-regions/surat-basin
- Series A projection is based on the number of non–resident workers on-shift who were engaged in existing resource operations and associated infrastructure activities in the area at June 2018. The projection takes into account future changes to those operational workforces as advised by resource company sources, as well as the estimated construction and operational workforces of Category A projects (i.e. those that are approved and have reached a financial close).

Appendix D: Project Information

The University of Queensland is conducting research into the social and economic impacts of coal seam gas (CSG) development. The project has focused on the combined impacts of the multiple CSG developments in the Western Downs region of Queensland as an initial case study. That focus has now expanded to include other local government areas – Maranoa, Toowoomba, and Isaac.

Research project history

- *Engagement:* People from the community, government and industry worked with researchers to identify the most important 'indicators' to monitor. This consultation process helped to develop a shared understanding of social and economic development in the community and created a framework for reporting and discussion.
- *Indicator monitoring:* The team identified ways to calculate and report the impact of multiple CSG projects against the agreed set of indicators.

The research team

Dr Kathy Witt, Centre for Natural Gas, The University of Queensland has led this research since May 2017 and joined the original project team in 2014.

A large team of researchers has contributed to this project since 2013, including:
- Assoc. Prof. Will Rifkin, University of Newcastle (previously led this project while working at The University of Queensland from April 2012 – April 2017)
- Dr Jo-Anne Everingham, Senior Research Scientist, Centre for Social Responsibility in Mining, The University of Queensland (CSRM).
- Research Assistants: Jonathan Simpson, (2019-2020) Simone Elias, (2018) Sarah Choudhury, (2017) and Bec Colvin, (2016).
- Ms Sheryllee Johnson, Research Technician, CCSG (2013-2016).
- Professor David Brereton, Associate Director, Sustainable Minerals Institute (2012-2016).
- Dr Vikki Uhlmann, Research Manager, CSRM (2013 -2014).
- Ms Kylie May, Research Analyst, CSRM (2013 – 2014).

Reporting timeframes: The data collection for the project has been occurring annually since 2013. Project outcomes, recommendations, and reports have been released periodically. The timeframe for some datasets, such as those from the Australian Taxation Office, lags behind the main data used in this report—this is due to unique data collection and reporting requirements of this agency.

Ethics approvals: This study has been cleared by the human research ethics committee of The University of Queensland in accordance with the National Health and Medical Research Council's guidelines (Research Ethics clearance approval no. 2013000587).

Questions: Contact the lead researcher, Dr Katherine Witt
Centre for Natural Gas, Faculty of Engineering, Information Technology and Architecture,
The University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD, 4072
M: 0418 619 341 | E: k.witt@uq.edu.au | W: www.natural-gas.centre.uq.edu.au

If you would like to speak to an officer of the University not involved in the study, you may contact the Ethics Officer on 07 3365 3924.